



# QUALITY ASSURANCE STRATEGY OF ERASMUS+ PROJECT PAGOSTE





This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein

Erasmus+ Project:	New mechanisms of partnership-based governance and standardization of vocational teacher education in Ukraine
Project number:	609536-EPP-1-2019-1-DE-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP
Title of the document:	Quality assurance strategy of the Erasmus+ Project PAGOSTE
Author(s) (name, surname, institution):	Oksana Melnyk, UKON
Contributor(s) (name, surname, institution):	
Submission Date:	17.06.2020
Reviewer(s) (name, surname, institution):	Svitlana Tsymbaliuk, KNEU, during jour fixe on 1.07.2020-> all partners
Editor (s) (name, surname, institution):	
Final version date:	01.07.2020
Revision date(s) and comment, responsible (name, surname, institution):	
Version:	
Work package:	5Q
Work package leader:	P1/UKON
Deliverable:	Yes=> 5.1
Type:	Manual
Form:	MS Word/PDF
Distribution:	Public
Summary:	The document outlines main definitions and guidelines for the effective quality assurance of the project. It has a binding character. Its implementation is supervised by the respective work package leader





# CONTENTS

Contents	1
Abbreviations	2
1. Quality assurance strategy	3
Introduction	3
Aim	3
Terms and explanations	4
2. Quality assurance of project processes	4
Project management	4
Financial management	
Project communication	5
Project WP implementation	5
3. Quality assurance of project activities/events	6
4. Quality assurance of project deliverables	7
5. Quality control	7
Quality control types	7
Quality control matrix	
Quality control tools	
Reference list	
Annex 1 Logical framework matrix	11



# **ABBREVIATIONS**

EACEA – Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency

HEI – higher education institution

LFM – Logical Framework Matrix

NEO - National Erasmus Office

PBG – partnership-based governance

PSW – project workshops

QA – quality assurance

QAS – quality assurance strategy

QP – quality plan

VET – vocational education and training

VTE – vocational teacher education

WP – work package





# 1. QUALITY ASSURANCE STRATEGY

## **INTRODUCTION**

The quality assurance strategy (QAS) is a part of the Work Package 5 "Quality assurance of the project". The work package leader is the University of Konstanz. All other project partners are involved in and equally responsible for the implementation of this WP. The leader of the work package has prepared this strategic document. The outline will be presented to the members of the project consortium in order to receive the feedback from all partner institutions. A finalized version will be adopted and uploaded on the project filed sharing platform and to the project website.

The QAS consists of an aim and objectives section, a quality assurance of processes section, a quality assurance of results section, a section on quality control matrix and a section on quality control tools. An integral part of the QAS is the logical framework matrix of the project application, which is placed in the annex. Other templates and annexes of quality control tools can be found in the collection of annexes and templates of the project.

#### AIM

The goal of the QAS is to provide the project consortium with standards, criteria, procedures and mechanisms, which will assure that

- the project is delivered in a consistent and high-quality way,
- the project results (deliverables) and activities are in compliance with the project objectives,
- they comply with the national legislation, EACEA requirements for project implementation;
- they meet needs and expectations of target groups;
- they are of high quality.

The specific objectives of the QAS are:

- clarify standards and requirements for the project processes, tangible results and events;
- specify the mechanisms and procedure for monitoring and evaluation of the project process, deliverables and activities;
- enable continuous improvement of the project implementation by means of monitoring, evaluation and feedback forms.

The main elements of the given QAS are quality assurance and quality control.

Table 1. Quality assurance and quality control comparison

Qua	ality assurance	Qua	ality control
✓	Focused on processes	✓	Focused on outputs
✓	Achieved by improvement of process	✓	Achieved by sampling and checking (inspection)
✓	Targeted at the whole project	✓	Targeted at production activities





✓	Emphasizes target groups, 'customers'	✓	Emphasises required standards
✓	Quality is built into the project	✓	Defective products are inspected out

The high quality of the project implementation, of the project results and activities is a prerequisite for the sustainability of the project after its end.

#### **TERMS AND EXPLANATIONS**

Standards are the "measures" used to determine a successful outcome for a deliverable. These standards may vary dependent on the type of project process, activity or project deliverable but they all follow the principles of utility, feasibility, propriety and accuracy.

*Project partner* is a partner-organisation, which is in the project consortium.

*Project member* is a person who is employed by the project partner and belongs to the project team of the project partner, and performs project tasks.

Tools and methods are techniques and procedures what to do in order to achieve the standard.

*Indicators* are any sources of information, which help to determine whether the project processes, activities and deliverables are in line with the project standards.

## 2. QUALITY ASSURANCE OF PROJECT PROCESSES

#### PROJECT MANAGEMENT

**Standard (how it shall be)**: the management is clear to the project consortium. All project members share the project vision, understand project objectives and plan, perform the tasks at their best and on time and are committed to achieving them. The internal project governance is horizontal and based on the transparency and accountability of all project partners and members, guided by the Grant Agreement (including project application), partnership agreement, Erasmus+ Programme Guide and project management handbook.

Tools and methods (how to assure/achieve): the project goal and objectives are presented to all members from the very beginning and are clear to everyone. All the project materials are structured and available to all project members. Regular jour fixe meetings on the project implementation are conducted in which all willing project members take part and bring their ideas and comments. The project workshops take place twice a year. All project meetings are protocolled and their minutes are available to all project members on the file sharing platform (Google Disc). The Agile project methodology is applied. The usage of Trello project management software by operative managers from all partner organisations provides an overview of the project progress. The project management handbook is developed and used by all project members. Regular inter-coaching between the project partners on issues of administrative and financial project management and WP implementation takes place.

**Indicators (sources of information)**: project meetings minutes, Trello dashboards, structured project materials on the file sharing platform.

## FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT





**Standard**: the project is delivered in the most cost-efficient way. The costs declared are eligible according to criteria of the EACEA defined by the Programme+ Guide and the Grant agreement. All the necessary supporting documentation is structured, stored and available to the coordinator and to the respective project partner. The sound adjustments of costs take place if necessary and are communicated to the project consortium and the project officer of the EACEA.

Tools and methods (how to assure/achieve): The rules of financial management are explained to the operative managers and contact persons of the project partners (other project members if necessary). The videos on the financial management from the Grant Holders Meeting on 27-28.01.2020 are available to all project partners. The templates and the forms from the EACEA are uploaded on the project website and on the Google Disc. The regular counselling between the project partners and the coordinator takes place. The financial overview of the project is exercised by the administrative and financial managers of the coordinator. The rules of financial management are specified in the project management handbook.

**Indicators**: Timely and correctly submitted reporting documents to the coordinator, positive feedback on the financial management in the interim progressive report and in the final report, the certificate of the financial auditor upon the project end.

#### PROJECT COMMUNICATION

**Standard**: the project communication adheres to the KISS principle (*keep it simple and straightforward*). The project members freely, openly, transparently and respectfully communicate between themselves and with the coordinator. The information and updates are communicated without delays and as soon as possible via the functional email: <a href="mailto:Erasmus.pagoste@uni-konstanz.de">Erasmus.pagoste@uni-konstanz.de</a>.

Tools and methods: The principle of the project communication is introduced from the very beginning. The consortium endorses regular and transparent communication. The contact list of the project members is structured and available from the very beginning of the project. All important communication is documented centrally and internally for reporting or auditing purposes at the coordinating institution via the functional e-mail erasmus.pagoste@uni-konstanz.de or work e-mail of the managers at the coordinator university. The operative communication channels are working e-mails of project members (primary), online conferencing (Zoom, Webex, Google Meet), Whatsapp, Viber and Messenger (upon extremely urgent request). Networking and mutual exchange of ideas and suggestions take place regularly during meetings, workshops, trainings, round tables, project conference, other events and during social dinners after the project workshops. Due to social dinners, intercultural awareness is fostered and intercultural competency is increased. All misunderstandings and conflicts between partners are solved reconciling all partners' interests by the project coordinator.

**Indicators**: NA

# PROJECT WP IMPLEMENTATION





**Standard**: the work packages are delivered on time and in a quality manner according to the LFM (see annex 1).

Tools and methods: the quality of the project implementation is greatly guaranteed by the project members who possess high expertise and qualifications in their occupational spheres. According to the Agile project methodology quality assurance is an in-built element from the very beginning and lies on the responsibility of every project member in particular and the project team on the whole. Depending on the task to be delivered, the respective project team performs it best to their knowledge and skills and in compliance with the EACEA guidelines, national and institutional laws and rules (if relevant), scientific standards (if relevant) and project guidelines. Following the principles of the Agile project methodology, "the entire team is responsible for the product and its quality and there is no formal separation of roles or dedicated QA group that is solely responsible for QA and testing tasks. Each team member contributes his or her own special skills [...], but is not exclusively tied to that particular role. Everyone is allowed to perform any of the various types of tasks contained in the task board, including QA and testing" (Linz, 2014). The problems and obstacles encountered are to be identified, communicated to the project consortium and solved with the help of other project partners.

The project implementation is discussed during regular short jour fixes (every two-three weeks) and iterative planning takes place.

**Indicators**: the project milestone in the LMF are reached on time, the project results are delivered on time and approved by the consortium, they comply with the project application, requirements of the EACEA to Erasmus+ projects (see Erasmus+ Programme Guide), national and institutional laws and rules (if relevant), scientific standards (if relevant), project guidelines and needs of target groups.

# 3. QUALITY ASSURANCE OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES/EVENTS

**Standard**: the project activities and events are conducted according to the agreed schedule and the objectives of the activities are reached. Participants are satisfied with the organisational and content elements of the activities.

**Tool and methods:** the objectives and the content of the activities are based on the need analysis of the target audience and are in line with the project goal and objectives. The materials are prepared in advance (at least 3 weeks) and sent for translation to Ukrainian partners. The checklist for the event organisation is available to the hosting institution. The hosting institution and the responsible institution receive continuous support from other project partners and coordinator. Activities are organised professionally. The organizers (responsible partner and hosting partner) provide in due time a full information package to the participants including the draft agenda, letter of invitation and a note on the logistics (informing about travel arrangements, venue, suggested hotels, etc.). Time for preparation activities depends on the type of event (e.g. several months for conference and several weeks for trainings).

Rooms have necessary equipment. Speakers are experienced lecturers or experts in their fields.





The schedule of the activities is agreed with the project consortium and is available online on the file sharing platform, the necessary amendments are introduced in a timely manner and communicated to the Project Officer at the EACEA if required.

**Indicators**: conducted activities and events are attended by the target groups (attendance lists, photos, news on the project website, websites on partner-institutions) and are positively evaluated by participants (evaluation sheets for events).

# 4. QUALITY ASSURANCE OF PROJECT DELIVERABLES

**Standard**: the project deliverables are diverse in nature, so the quality criteria are specific for each project deliverable, but all project deliverables are accomplished on time according to the project application and meet the project objectives, needs and expectation of target groups. They are in line with the EACEA requirements to the implementation of Erasmus+projects. If relevant, they comply with scientific (academic) standards, national legislation and institutional regulations. A consistent and common format for all document based deliverables (word document, power point presentations) is to be followed by all partners using templates provided within the collection of annexes of the project PAGOSTE.

**Tool and methods:** Since the project deliverables are diverse in nature, no single method can be applied in order to assure the quality, but each one needs an individual approach. However, common feature of how to achieve that is to use multi-layered peer review. From the initial stage till accomplishing the task and obtaining the project result, the responsible team/person reports to the project consortium on the current state of development and encountered difficulties/problems if any, upon it the necessary changes are introduced. This method is in line with the principle of "Inspection and Adaptation" of quality assurance in agile teams (Linz, 2014).

Indicator: see LFM in annex 1.

# 5. QUALITY CONTROL

#### QUALITY CONTROL TYPES

According to the project application, quality control is exercised internally and externally.

*Internally* quality control is performed by all partners through regular self-evaluation and though internal project evaluation sheets. Moreover, an important role is played by the oral feedback from the project members. Internal quality control serves the improvement of the project management and project implementation. It has an advisory character. Upon the results of self-evaluation and internal project surveys, the WP leader will present annually the results of internal quality control to the project consortium for reflection and improvement.

**External** monitoring of the project will be performed by the National Erasmus+ Office in Ukraine, External audit, the EACEA, external experts and a critical friend. The NEO in Ukraine performs three types of monitoring, based on deliverable achievement: preventive (in the first project year), advisory (after the first project year), control (after the end of project – sustainability check). The monitoring by the NEO includes the assessment of





various aspects of project implementation, such as relevance (is project still relevant in terms of its goals and achievements), efficiency (are the activities in work-packages done on time), effectiveness (how well are project specific objectives met), impact (at the level of departments, faculty, university, etc.) and sustainability (what would stay after the project is finished). Based on the progress of these aspects, the NEO sends the report on their findings to the EACEA.

In order to ensure the successful implementation of the project results on the national level it is also planned to combine with the staff trainings the external monitoring from the representatives of the Ministry of Culture, Youth and Sport of Baden-Wurttemberg (the federal state of the coordinator) and the representatives of the State colleges for training and further education of teachers of Baden-Wurttemberg who can provide a qualitative feedback to the developed mechanisms in governance. For the assurance of the content quality, it is planned to invite annually a critical friend, a researcher from the sphere of policy development on vocational education and teacher education, who can hint on the problems in the project implementation from the scientific point of view.

Apart from the monitoring from the NEO, EACEA, external experts and critical friend, the consortium will additionally subcontract the external audit agency for the purpose of preparation of External Audit Report on the project's financial statements in accordance with the recommendations and templates of the EACEA.

#### **QUALITY CONTROL MATRIX**

The following table presents a matrix of the external and internal monitoring and evaluation, which schematically shows what, who and how is planned to monitor and check the quality of the project.

**Table 2.** Matrix for internal and external monitoring and evaluation

		External		Internal		
What	Who	How	When	Who	How	When
Project process						
Management	NEO Ukraine	Field	Within	Project	Internal project	During PWS
		monitoring	the 1st	members	evaluation	
			year		sheets	
				Project	Oral feedback	During PWS
				members		
Financial	Financial	External	After the	Financial	Checking and	Continuously
management	audit	auditing	project	manager at	monitoring	
			end	UKON	documentation	
					sent by partners	
Communication	N/A	N/A	N/A	Project	Internal project	During PWS
				members	evaluation	
					sheets	
				Project	Oral feedback	During PWS
				members		
WP	NEO Ukraine	Field	Within	WP leader	WP report	After the
implementation		monitoring	the 1st			completion
			year			of the WP





	-				or the Lare	P
	External	On-site	During	Task leader	Reports	During the
	experts	visits and	the 2nd		documented in	jour fixe and
	(planned to	feedbacks	and the		minutes	PWS
	invite the		3rd year			
	representatives		,			
	of the Seminars			All project	Partners self-	Every year
	for further			partners	evaluation of	
	training and				work progress	
	the					
	representatives					
	of the Ministry					
	for Education					
	of Baden-					
	Württemberg)					
Project	Participants	Evaluation	During	•	Self-evaluation	During staff
activities/events	of the events	sheets for	staff	and hosting		trainings and
		events,	trainings,	partners	organisation of	other events
		Mentimeter	round		events	
		online tool	tables			
Project	NEO Ukraine	Field	Within	WP leader	WP report	After the
deliverables		monitoring	the 1st			completion
			year			of the WP
	Critical friend	On-site	Once per	Task leader	Reports	During the
	(researcher	visits and	year		documented in	jour fixe and
	from the	review of	,		minutes	project
	sphere VTE	relevant			······································	workshops
	and VET)	deliverables				Workshops
	ana verj	active ables		All partners	Peer review	During the
				All partitlers	reel leview	_
						production
						and upon
						delivery of
						the result

# **QUALITY CONTROL TOOLS**

Quality control tools indicated in the quality control framework have been adapted using the best practices of the other Erasmus+ projects. Thus, it ensures the synergy and interaction of Erasmus+ projects. They include templates of:

- work package report;
- partners self-evaluation of work progress;
- internal project evaluation sheet (for project workshops);
- event evaluation sheets for participants;
- self-evaluation sheets for organisation of events.

**Table 3.** Plan of the usage of quality control tools

What	Who	How	When	To whom	
Work package	WP leader	Electronically;	Electronically => within two	Electronically	=>





report		hard copy; oral presentation	weeks after the WP completion; hard copy => during the nearest activity; orally presentation => during the nearest Jour fixe or PWS	hard copy =>
Partners self- evaluation form of work progress	All project partners	Electronically	From 1 till 20 of December of each project year	Coordinator
Internal project evaluation sheet	All project members who participate in the PWS	Hard copy	During each PSW	Coordinator
Event evaluation sheets for participants	Participants of staff trainings, round tables, other activities	Hard copy; electronically	During each project activity	Coordinator
Self-evaluation sheets for organisation of events	Responsible and hosting partners	Hard copy/electro nically	Within three weeks after each project activity	Coordinator

# **REFERENCE LIST**

Linz, T. (2014). Testing in Scrum: A guide for software quality assurance in the agile world / Tilo Linz (1st edition). Santa Barbara, CA: Rocky Nook. Retrieved from https://proquest.tech.safaribooksonline.de/book/software-engineering-and-development/agile-development/9781492001508/7-quality-management-and-quality-assurance/ch07lev1sec2\_html





# ANNEX 1. LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX

	E.	4 Logical Framework M	atrix – LFM	
Wider Objective:	Indicators of progress:	How indicators will be		
What is the general objective, to	What are the key indicators related to	measured:		
which the project will contribute?	the wider objective?	What are the sources of information on		
to foster the quality and		these indicators?		
relevance of vocational		- strategic documents of the		
		responsible Chair of Pedagogy		
Ukraine by establishing	·	at HEIs regarding regular		
standards for vocational	vocational schools;	cooperation with vocational		
teacher education with		schools;		
respect to partnership-	- new mechanisms of			
based governance (PBG)		- official guidelines for		
mechanisms between		establishing and managing VTE		
universities which offer	meant to lead to PBG;	based on PBG;		
vocational teacher training		55		
and vocational schools.	· ·	- official guidelines for setting		
		up regular working groups		
	<b>'</b>	within the framework of PBG;		
	vocational teachers and			
	vocational schools;	- official guidelines for regular		
		meetings between universities		
		and VET school representatives		
	involvement of vocational	1 '		
	schools in the governance of			
	teacher training;	- number of meetings,		
		consultations and workshops		
		with vocational teachers,		
		number of trained university		
	staff at HEIs and vocational	,		
	teachers at VET schools by			
	_	- number of students who		
	collaboration;	receive advanced practical		
		training and school internships;		
	- increasing students'			

	and understanding of vocational education and of practical challenges of the teacher profession;	- availability of general standards for vocational teacher education with respect		
Specific Project	Indicators of progress:	How indicators will be	Assumptions & risks	How the risks will be mitigated:
Objective/s: What are the specific objectives, which the project shall achieve? - to establish effective mechanisms of partnership between HEIs, which are involved in VTE, and vocational schools (and other stakeholders if relevant); - to employ mechanisms of PBG for in-service vocational teacher training;	What are the quantitative and qualitative indicators showing whether and to what extent the project's specific objectives are achieved?  - methodical documentation on developing and establishing PBG structures at HEIs and vocational schools;  - effective functioning of the created structures at partner HEIs;  - design and implementation	measured: What are the sources of information that exist and can be collected? What are the methods required to get this information? - internal documentation within HEIs regarding number of staff visiting EU universities, number of students visiting EU universities, individual reports; - official HEI's orders and resolutions on establishing new structures, signed agreements with vocational schools;	What are the factors and conditions not under the direct control of the project, which are necessary to achieve these objectives? What risks have to be considered?  Assumptions:  - positive motivation of HEIs to increase the relevance of vocational teacher education;  - favorable climate for educational reforms;  - availability of the necessary staff;	new management; - promotion campaigns of Ukrainian partner HEIs; - project coordinator who has a rich experience in international project
- to enable educational institutions involved in VTE	of 4 modules for advanced in-service training of vocational teachers;	- number of meetings, resolutions on curriculum development and practical	- material facilities at HEIs;	management and excellent cultural awareness;
Institutions involved in VIE	- design and implementation	training, number of conducted trainings for vocational	- HEIs have development and	- national coordinator, which has a good experience of working in

	T -	T	T	1
to use standards for PBG.	of 4 modules for upgrading	teachers;	internationalization strategies.	international projects and sufficient
	skills of staff involved in the		D. I	- level of competence in dealing
	new PBG governance		RISKS:	with administrative processes in
	structures;	learning materials, list of		higher education
		participants, number of staff	- political situation in Ukraine;	
	- national platform for HEIs	trained by EU partners;		
	and vocational schools;		- change of HEIs' management;	
		- schedule of the website		
	- design for	implementation, website	- lacking motivation of vocational	
	recommendations on	materials, number of registered	school management for	
		users;	cooperation;	
	mechanisms of PBG;	4.50.5)		
	Theeriamsins of 1 bo,	- approvals of authoritative	- difficulties with money transfer	
	- conducting a national	, ,	because of national legislation.	
	conference and initiating a	bodies (WLS),		
	network of HEIs involved in	- lists of participants		
		1		
	VTE for exchange of	contenence proceedings,		
	experience and	- Memorandum of		
	collaboration.	understandings.		
		understandings.		
Outputs (tangible) and	Indicators of progress:	How indicators will be	Assumptions & risks	How the risks will be mitigated:
Outcomes (intangible):	What are the indicators to measure	measured:	What external factors and conditions must be	- continuous communication and
Please provide the list of concrete	whether and to what extent the project	What are the sources of information on	realised to obtain the expected outcomes and	involvement of management of
DELIVERABLES - outputs/outcomes	achieves the envisaged results and	these indicators?	results on schedule?	
(grouped in Work packages), leading	effects? 1P:	1P:	Assumptions:	institutions into staff trainings for
to the specific objective/s.:	IP.			raising awareness;
1P (Analysis of governance	participation in a workshop	- lists of participants; available	- drawing from the importance of	11
of vocational teacher		presentations and documents	effective partnership between	- task monitoring using project
education):	on best EU practices;	on the topic;	different institutions for ensuring	management software;
	consortium peer review,	- written feedback and	high quality teacher education;	
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	evaluation and approval of	evaluation;		- time planning and following the
best practices of EU of	_	- number of surveyed	- active involvement of Ministry	schedules;
governing vocational	- institutional reports on	/interviewed vocational	of Education and Science in the	
teacher education;	findings;	1	project activities;	- experience of the coordinator in
	- national report (EN, UA)	- teachers and management;	·	international projects and
1.2. instruments for the		number of surveyed students	- readiness of Ukrainian	communication with Ukrainian
	1.	(depends on student enrolment		

	T			
need analysis of target	1	on study programs, minimum		partners;
groups;		10 students per HEI, not less		
		than 40 students totally);	implementation of PBG in	- involvement of professional
1.3. findings on structures	- lists of participants.	- presentation of the national	vocational teacher education.	interpreters in the activities;
problems, motivation of		report to the project		
Ukrainian actors and	2DEV:	consortium, available on the	Risks:	- outsourcing a professional agency
participants involved in		websites of partners.		for creation of the online-platform.
VTE;	- collaborative work on the	·	- difficulties with involvement of	
	concepts of HEIs' staff and	2DEV:	vocational schools without	
1.4. a round table	vocational school staff;		financial incentives;	
	- signed agreements	- reports on the experience		
2DEV (Elaboration and	between HEIs and	exchange, readiness to work on	- delays in scheduled activities;	
implementation of PBG):	vocational schools;	the development of suitable		
	- new structures at each HEI	concepts of PBG mechanisms;	- lengthy process of official	
2.1. elaborated concepts	function effectively and	- minutes of the meetings,	approvals and of signing	
of PBG mechanisms;	perform assigned tasks;		documents necessary for the	
	,	submissions of concepts for	establishment of PBG	
2.2. new structures for	by target groups;	peer-reviews;	mechanisms;	
realization of concepts at		- official strategy documents of		
each partner HEI;	project consortium	the HEIs, guidelines, resolutions	- complicated procedures in the	
	1.	and recommendations issued in	process of adopting legislative	
2.3. two round tables.	, ,	the context of new structures;	amendments to the existing	
	on-site visits.	- written expert reports on PBG	normative base in Ukraine;	
3DEV (Content input from	on-site visits.	structures.		
European partners on	3DEV:	structures.	- lacking technical knowledge and	
PBG):	JDEV.	3DEV:	competences of the project	
	- lists of participants;		consortium, which are necessary	
3.1. conducted staff	- catalogue of material;		for creation for the platform;	
trainings for HEI staff,	- modules of staff trainings;	manner or participants)	' '	
vocational school	- agenda of staff trainings;	materials in EN and UA.	- intercultural communication;	
management and teachers	- agenua or starr trainings,	Indicinals in EN and OA.	,	
and other stakeholders on	4DEV:	4DEV:	- lacking knowledge of English	
educational governance	TDL V.	TUL V.	among participants of workshops	
and policy borrowing in		- staff training materials in EN	, ,	
education, soft skills and	note of participants,			
· ·	- collaborative work of the		- lacking motivation to cooperate	
3:::::::,	1- conaborative work or the	participants,	<u> </u>	

	I	1		
. •	project consortium and the	minutes of the (video)-	on a volunteering basis.	
VTE, teaching in vocational	external expert;	meetings;		
school contexts,	- submission to MESU for	- concrete deadlines for		
heterogeneity.	consideration and approval;	submissions for MESU;		
	- concrete schedule of	- regular written feedbacks on		
4DEV (Setting up PBG	development and launch of	the progress and usage of the		
	the platform;	platform;		
national level):	- presentation of the	- number of HEIs, which sign		
	Memorandum of	the Memorandum of		
4.1. conducted staff	Understanding to the target	Understanding;		
training for MESU on	audience:	- submission of the		
educational governance	- collaborative work of the			
and reforms in the VET and	project consortium	Ukraine.		
VTE systems;				
	5Q:	5Q:		
4.2. policy paper on				
mechanisms of PBG in VTE;	- availability of reports,	- evaluation sheets;		
	minutes, written evaluations	- external evaluation reports;		
4.3. set up of a new online	of the participants;	- audit report;		
platform "Partner Space"	- recommendations are			
for fostering PBG;	analysed and performed.	6DE:		
4.4. Memorandum of	6DE:	- internal and external		
Understanding between		identifiable documentation of		
	- easiness to identify the	the project;		
programs;	project due to its branding;	- number of participants;		
	- regular updating of news	- schedule of news updating;		
4.5. developed	on the social accounts;	- analytics from the social		
amendments to		networks.		
educational standards for	conference of stakeholders.			
the VTE		7M:		
	7M:			
5Q (Quality assurance of		- documents are on the file		
the project):	1	sharing platform or sent		
	to the participants;	- personally to the participants;		
5.1. regular reports on	- usage of the project	- number of participants who		

	I		T	1
WP1-4;	software;	listened to the introduction		
		session on project management		
	performance by means of	software;		
trainings and other	the project software.	- feedback on performed tasks;		
activities;		- financial reports, original bills		
		and supportive documents.		
5.3. recommendations of				
external experts and				
"critical friend";				
5.4. statement of financial				
auditor;				
5.5. on-site visits during				
activities.				
activities.				
6DE (Project dissemination				
plan):				
p,.				
6.1. corporate branding,				
website of the project;				
, , ,				
6.2. project news in social				
networks;				
6.3. regular newsletter,				
leaflet;				
6.4. conference on VTE				
6 F raised awareness on				
6.5. raised awareness on VTE				
VIC				
7M (Coordination and				
management of the				
project):				
p. 0,000,				
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

<ul><li>7.1. minutes of project meetings;</li><li>7.2. introduction of project management software;</li><li>7.3. financial evidences and reports;</li></ul>			
7.4. objectives reached and tasks performed on time			
Activities:  What are the key activities to be carried out (grouped in Work packages) and in what sequence in	Inputs: What inputs are required to implement these activities, e.g. staff time, equipment, mobilities, publications	Assumptions   & risks What pre-conditions are required before the project starts? What conditions outside the project's direct control have to be present for	How the risks will be mitigated: - continuous consultations with the Ukrainian partners, guidance
order to produce the expected results?  1P:	etc.? For the realisation of the activities it is necessary:	the implementation of the planned activities? Assumptions:	provided by the national coordinator;
1.1. organization of WS for need analysis;	- staff time: 104 days as managers; 1410 days as trainers / researchers; 655		<ul> <li>internal coaching and consultations concerning project issues;</li> </ul>
1.2. developing instruments for need analysis;	days as technical staff; 772 days as administrative staff;	dissemination and exploitation of	- preliminary calculations, consultation with EACEA about the possibility to introduce changes into
1.3. writing a report on collected and processed data;	<ul> <li>travel costs and costs of stay as indicated in the budget table for 6 workshops of the project</li> </ul>	national level. Risks:	activities.
1.4. organisation of a round table on a national roadmap for changes of VTE governance in	consortium 3 round tables	<ul><li>delays in purchasing the equipment;</li><li>lacking understanding among the project partners how to use</li></ul>	
Ukraine.	institutions; 2 study visits of	the project management	

	· · ·			
2DEV:	Ukrainian students from	sof	oftware;	
	partner HEIs to EU			
2.1. organization of study	institutions;	- p	problems concerning lump	
visits of staff involved in		sur	ıms real costs (flight tickets).	
VTE and PBG;	- available classrooms and			
	meeting halls for conducting			
2.2. elaborating PBG	workshops, staff trainings,			
concepts;	round tables and a			
	conference at Ukrainian and			
2.3. piloting new	EU participating institutions;			
structures of PBG at				
partner HEIs; installing	- modern equipment as			
equipment;	indicated by the Ukrainian			
	partners (detailed			
2.4. organising round	description in budget table);			
tables; visiting on-site the	description in Sauger taste,,			
	- subcontracting costs for			
	external experts, external			
, ,	financial audit, publishing			
Series and Series	and promotion materials,			
3DEV:	· ·			
	project management software etc. as indicated in			
3.1. developing training	software etc. as indicated in			
materials, translating;	the budget table.			
materials, translating,				
3.2. organizing staff				
trainings at partner HEIs				
on project issues.				
p. 6,000.000.000				
4DEV:				
4.1. organising a staff				
training on VTE				
governance (external				
trainers from				
Kultusministerium Baden-				
Kuitusiiiiiisteriuiii Bdueii-				

Württemberg or Seminar of Baden-Württemberg);		
4.2. writing a policy paper on PBG in VTE;		
4.3. designing and launching an online platform for PBG facilitation;		
4.4. initiating a network of HEIs involved into VTE;		
4.5. developing amendments for educational standards for VTE in accordance with PBG and submitting them to the MESU of Ukraine for approval.		
5Q:		
5.1. preparing regular reports on WP 1-4;		
5.2. preparing evaluation sheets and conducting evaluations;		
5.3. organisation of visitis of external experts and "critical friend";		

5.4. external auditing;		
5.5. participation in seminars at EACEA and National Agency; visiting onsite during acitivities in Ukraine.		
6D:		
6.1. developing corporate brand;		
6.2. posting news in social networks;		
6.3. sending newsletter;		
6.4. organizing 1 conference in Ukraine on VTE;		
6.5. publishing anthology on project results.		
7M:		
7.1. conducting project meetings (workshops and video meetings);		
7.2. organisation of informational session on software usage;		
7.3. financial management;		

7.4. monitoring of tasks performance.		