



PAGOSTE


EVALUATION OF STAFF TRAINING 6

17-19.10.2022



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

Universität
Konstanz



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein


Intro

Topic	Teacher professionalisation: approaches, structure and standards
Objectives:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to introduce the educational standards and structures for vocational teacher training in Germany • to introduce the theories and models of teacher professionalization • to analyse the approaches and structural issues of vocational teacher training in Austria and Germany
Registered participants:	476
Online according to Zoom reports:	329 (17.10); 348 (18.10); 260 (19.10)
Organising Institution:	University of Konstanz
Hosting University:	University of Konstanz


Intro

Language of evaluation sheets	Ukrainian for participants and organisers, who speak Ukrainian
Number:	Ukrainian filled-in evaluation sheets: 165
Medium:	Online

Geography: cities




Institution: types




Evaluation: structure


2.1. The objectives of the event are clear



2.2. The structure of the event is logical




2.3. The objectives of the event have been reached




Evaluation: structure

2.4 There was a good range and balance of activities




2.5 Participants have contributed to the discussion and/or decision making




Evaluation: organisation

3.1 The communication was satisfactory before the event




3.2 The organisation was very good




Evaluation: organisation

3.3 The timetable was clear and reasonable




3.4 The event adhered to the agenda




Evaluation: environment and resources


4.1 The environment (place, lecturers, etc.) was pleasant to work



4.2 The provision of materials and resources was suitable




4.3 The meeting room (online platform) was suitably for the event equipped




Evaluation: environment and resources

4.4 The lecturers/speakers delivered the speeches/lectures good




4.5 The lecturers/speakers were cooperative and helpful




Evaluation: content


5.1 The content of the event was relevant for my professional activity



5.2 I am satisfied with the slides and materials of the event




5.3 I have understood main concepts and messages of the event




Evaluation: content

5.4 The content of the event helps me better to understand my own professional situation




5.5 I can use the gained knowledge in my work




Evaluation: content

5.6 Please specify how you can use the gained knowledge in your work




Evaluation: improvements


6.1 The structure of the event must be improved



6.3 The content of the event must be improved



6.5 The structure of this evaluation form must be improved



Evaluation: content

6.2 Please specify how the structure of the event must be improved

I would like more interesting videos like the one from 18.10.2022

Some materials should be sent earlier for processing to ensure fast and quality communication with the lecturer

Morning and daytime are not convenient for teachers because they have classes. 19.10.22 was a more productive day for me

The structure of the event is arranged according to plan. Everything is interesting and useful

More specific examples are needed

Add elements that are closer to modern Ukrainian realities

The structure was good, appropriate, and understandable

Evaluation: content

6.4 Please specify how the
content of the event must be
improved

The event content is accessible and understandable

I would like to have more examples from the practical
implementation of the educational process. But
overall, all is good

All useful information was presented

Very interesting and useful event

The content was relevant and interesting

Evaluation: content

6.6 Please specify how the structure of this evaluation form must be improved

Everything is clear

The white background blends with the answer markings

In the previous three questions, it would be advisable to offer 'Yes' or 'No' answer options. It's unclear where the best rating is and where the worst is

The questionnaire is meaningfully composed

The proposed questions allow a comprehensive assessment of the seminar

Evaluation: content

7.1. Do you have any further comments or suggestions?

Event was well structured, not overloaded with information volume, duration, or number of speakers. Lecturers presented the material in the most accessible and interesting form. Special thanks for the professional translation of the broadcasted material and high level of organizational-communication support of the training

A special thanks to the organizers, that in such a difficult time for education, we have the opportunity to communicate with each other, enhance our professional level and have new goals and projects. Thank you!


Proposals are absent. Everything is very useful and interesting.

There is a desire to master a foreign language to understand everything without a translator

The event was wonderful, thanks to the organizers and speakers. The translation was super! Peace to everyone!

Everything is clear. The preparation of teachers is very similar to ours in pedagogical universities, only ours is more focused on general education

Everything was nicely conducted



Annexes

- Annex 1. Original evaluations, Ukrainian variant