



Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine  
National Transport University

# Short summary of the round table

Project team:

Mykola Dmytrychenko,  
Oleksandr Hryshchuk,  
Nataliia Bondar,  
Khalidakhon Bakhtiarova,  
Danylo Savostin-Kosiak.

Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.




## Topics

- ✓ General characteristics of event;
- ✓ Results of self-evaluation of event organization;
- ✓ Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors;
- ✓ Conclusions.







## General characteristics of event


Topic	“Governance of vocational teacher education: bringing together stakeholders and matching interest
Objective	To discuss with stakeholders the results of the national survey on the partnership-based governance of vocational teachers’ education and work out the road map for positive changes
Hosting organisation	National Transport University
Date	14-15 September 2020





## General characteristics of event

City	Amount	City	Amount
Kyiv	40	Kupiansk (Kharkiv region)	1
Kharkiv	9	Liubotyn (Kharkiv region)	1
Rome	4	Nadvirna (Western Ukraine)	2
Bar (Western Ukraine)	7	Odessa	8
Irpin (Kyiv region)	2	Katiuzhanka (Kyiv region)	1







## General characteristics of event



## General characteristics of event







## Results of self-evaluation of event organization


### 1.1. Please list the objectives of the event

- ✓ to discuss with stakeholders the results of the national survey on the partnership-based governance of vocational teachers' education;
- ✓ to work out the road map for positive changes;
- ✓ to involve at least 40 participants in the discussions.

### 1.2. In your opinion, were all objectives met? Please justify briefly your position

- ✓ in our opinion, the set goals have been achieved;
- ✓ the results of a national survey on the partnership-based governance in the VET teachers' preparation were presented for discussion;
- ✓ the participants the Round table actively took part in the discussion of the results of the national survey on the partnership-based governance in the VET teachers' preparation;





## Results of self-evaluation of event organization

1.2. In your opinion, were all objectives met? Please justify briefly you position

- ✓ the participants of the Round Table proposed ideas on possible directions of interaction between stakeholders, levels of establishing interaction between stakeholders, tools and methods of influencing the activity of stakeholders, etc.;
- ✓ the participants of the Round Table formulated 42 ideas, which were systematized according to the relevant aspects and taken as the basis for a roadmap of positive changes in the field of VET teachers' training;
- ✓ during the online event, participants from 43 accounts were logged in. At the same time, from 4 to 5 participants were in touch from many accounts.





## Results of self-evaluation of event organization

1.3 What do you think, did the participants understand the goal of the event? Please justify briefly your position

The Round Table participants understood the goal of the event. During two days of event they actively participated in the discussion of problems and proposed ideas for their solution.

2. How would you overall assess the organisation of the event (time management, agenda, forms of interactions etc.?)

- ✓ The timetable was observed. Control over the timetable was carried out by moderators.
- ✓ The agenda was balanced both in terms of the topics that were considered on the first and second days and in the time allotted for their consideration.
- ✓ The form of interaction between the participants of the Round Table took into account the peculiarities of communication in a distance format. For the convenience of communication, participants had the opportunity to ask questions in the chat. These questions were voiced by the moderators during the discussion.



## Results of self-evaluation of event organization

3. How would you overly evaluate the environment (atmosphere, involvement of participants etc.) and available resources (material provision, equipment, classrooms, translation/interpreting etc.)?

- ✓ The atmosphere of the event was assessed as friendly and one that encouraged participants to cooperate in developing a roadmap for positive change.
- ✓ The participants took an active part in the discussion of the problem.
- ✓ All reports were accompanied by bilingual presentations, which facilitated the perception of information by domestic participants.
- ✓ During communication with foreign speakers, the organizers provided high-quality professional translation.







## Results of self-evaluation of event organization

4. In your opinion, to which extent was the content of the event relevant for the target audience? Project objectives?

The program of the event was formed in accordance with the theme of the Round Table and the target audience. At the end of the event, there were many positive comments from the participants about what they were interested in. Many participants noted that participation in the event was beneficial for them from a professional point of view.





## Results of self-evaluation of event organization

### 5.1. What might you do differently next time?

There were some remarks on the nature of the communication of the moderator of the last discussion. Next time, the moderator should be changed or advised to listen to the participants.

### 5.2. What didn't work as well as you had hoped?

There were problems with internet connection and sound quality. From time to time, there were such difficulties: low sound volume, lack of synchronization of communication due to delays in the connection.

### 5.3. Do you have any advice for your colleagues concerning the organisation of future events?


It is important to formulate the most actual questions on the topic of the event that will be interesting to the target audience and start discussion with these questions.






## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors


1.1. What was your role in the event?



1.2. The objectives of the event were clear




1.3. The objectives of the event have been achieved




## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors

1.4 There was a good range and balance of activities




1.5. Participants have contributed to the discussion and/or decision making






## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors


2.1. The communication was satisfactory before the event




2.2 The organisation was very good



2.3 The timetable was clear and reasonable




2.4 The event adhered to the agenda




## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors


3.1. The environment (place, lecturers, etc.) was pleasant to work



3.2. The provision of materials and resources was suitable




3.3. The meeting room (online platform) was suitably for the event equipped






## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors

3.4. The lecturers/speakers delivered the speeches/lectures good




3.5 The lecturers/speakers were cooperative and helpful




## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors


4.1. The content of the event was relevant for my professional activity




4.2. I am satisfied with the slides and materials of the event



4.3. I have understood main concepts and messages of the event




4.4. The content of the event helps me better to understand my own professional situation





## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors

### 5.1. The structure of the event must be improved




### 5.2. Please specify how:

- ✓ Involve students, employers, etc. in the discussion
- ✓ Meet live
- ✓ shorter speeches, more specific questions, well-grounded proposals that have a real effect
- ✓ The technical problems were there, but this are the usual challenges in a Chatroom with 40 up to 50 people. The translations were very good and it was easy (as an presenter) to adjust to the situation!!
- ✓ Shorter sessions and presentations. Providing of simultaneous translation (using appropriate online tools).
- ✓ The issue has already been dealt with in the meeting following the event. To me, communication issues should be focused on, as there being no translation into English, we were unable to follow any discussion in Ukrainian, therefore the whole session was of very little use
- ✓ Participants should be more active, therefore the form of getting feedback should be modified

## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors


5.3. The content of the event must be improved



5.4. Please specify how:

- ✓ Expand the range of issues for discussion

5.5. The structure of this evaluation form must be improved



5.6. Please specify how:

- ✓ Enter option "Your answer"





## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors

### 6. Do you still have quality suggestions or comments about the event?

- ✓ Thanks for the interesting day - next time it would be very interesting to include survey tools like "menti" oder "google forms" (the link to the survey-tool can be postet in the chat or also in the program) - these litte polls really help al lot to activate the participants and offer the moderator the chance to interact with them.
- ✓ The organizational effort for an online event was evident. Hope to have in presence meeting in the future.
- ✓ Platform Google Meet not ideal. Zoom is much better.
- ✓ Thanks for all the work you have put up. You have been helpful and very cooperative all along. We are very glad of this collaboration.
- ✓ Everything was well.
- ✓ Thank you for the opportunity to participate.






## Preliminary results of evaluation of the event by visitors

### 6. Do you still have quality suggestions or comments about the event?

- ✓ Thank you for a well-organized event. Good luck in your work!
- ✓ Thank you for the opportunity to join this event!
- ✓ Thank you, yesterday there were small problems, and today - perfect!
- ✓ Thank you. There were a lot of useful tips and suggestions.
- ✓ I do not have it.
- ✓ None.
- ✓ I would like to receive presentations of speakers by e-mail.
- ✓ Thank you very much for a meaningful round table. For the organization and current issues that were discussed.
- ✓ Thank you very much for the opportunity to participate in the round table.







## Conclusions

- ✓ A significant advantage of holding round tables online is the ability to expand the geography and number of participants.
- ✓ The biggest risks of online events lie in the technical field (unstable internet connection, audio and video problems, etc.).
- ✓ During online events, it is advisable to distribute organizational roles: platform administrator, discussion moderator, technical support.





**THANK YOU**  
**FOR YOUR ATTENTION**

